



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/533,876 | 05/05/2005 | Mats Johansson | 027651-267 | 9663 |
| 21839 | 7590 | 12/04/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC | | | TRUONG, THANH K | |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1404 | | | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3721 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/04/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/533,876 | JOHANSSON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | THANH K. TRUONG | 3721 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Kemeny. (3) _____.

(2) Thanh Truong. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wakabayashi et al. (EP 0466271) and Lohse et al. (3,220,161).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed an amendment to claim 1, in which the claim further defines the forming flap structure limitation in order to over come the prior art. The examiner indicated that the proposed amendment will be considered on its merits.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|--|
| /Thanh K Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721 | |
|---|--|